

VSP Public Comment

From: nicca@igc.org
Sent: Sunday, June 26, 2005 2:59 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

Dear people in charge of elections. We need a paper trail for our ballots.

I support the PROPOSED CITIZEN REQUIREMENTS:

The requirement for an Accessible Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail legislated in September is being subverted by cynical products such as the Diebold thermal paper continuous roll miniature type printer, which obviously will not be useful for an actual hand count of votes. The standards need to be made more specific, to assure that this kind of travesty is not certified.

Please include in the Standards for Accessible Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail Systems the following provisions:

Any voting systems approved for use in California after January 1, 2005 shall have a AVVPAT that conforms to the adopted Standards.

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.

Please make sure recounts are not difficult and that voter fraud is impossible!

Sincerely,
Diana Bohn

Ms. Diana Bohn
618 San Luis
Berkeley, CA 94707

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.